

# Institutio infantium ISSN 2989-381X

*Peer-reviewed scientific journal on issues of preschool education*

The journal is registered with the Ministry of Culture of the Slovak Republic: **EV 290/24/EPP**.

## ABOUT THE JOURNAL

The peer-reviewed scientific journal Institutio infantium seeks to address the issues of preschool pedagogy and pre-primary education. The mission of the journal is to contribute to the scientific and professional discussion on issues of preschool pedagogy and the practice of pre-primary education, which currently are not covered in any of the periodical publications in Slovakia. The goal of the journal is to expand current knowledge from the field of project investigations and research results, from the process of educational practice in institutions, schools, universities, and from systemic changes in the field of school policy and legislation in both Slovakia and the international discourse, with a focus on:

- ❖ preschool education (including special and inclusive),
- ❖ pre-primary education and legislation,
- ❖ preschool didactics,
- ❖ pedagogical diagnostics,
- ❖ child psychology (early and preschool age),
- ❖ alternative educational concepts,
- ❖ trends in educational sciences,
- ❖ comparative preschool pedagogy
- ❖ and related topics.

### Structure of the journal:

1. research studies;
2. scholarly articles;
3. reviews and discussions.

**Research studies** are works of a more extensive nature, specific for their high-quality and comprehensive approach to the covered issue. They present the results of the authors' research or thorough theoretical analytical-synthetic work. A research study enriches the field with new knowledge or new untraditional contexts in the field. A research study encompasses an in-depth qualitative or quantitative methodology, contains detailed analysis and discussion. The maximum length of the article is 40,000 characters without spaces.

**Scholarly articles** present the results of the authors' scholarly and theoretical work. The articles require a high level of analytical processing of a scholarly topic with an emphasis on new, current theoretical frameworks of domestic and foreign professional discourse. The maximum length of the article is 25,000 characters without spaces.

**Reviews** of current (maximum 2 years old) pedagogical and psychological publications. Critical-analytical reviews are preferred. The maximum length is 10,000 characters without spaces.

**Discussions** stimulate professional discussion on pedagogical problems. Discussion papers are expected to be scientifically refined and supported by historical, empirical, or theoretical evidence. They can also contain information about currently ongoing research projects. The maximum length is 10,000 characters without spaces.

The publisher does not charge fees for manuscript processing or publication. The authors are fully responsible for the content and language editing of the text, the correct listing of bibliographic resources and the correct translation of the title and abstract into English.

## **CURRENT CALL FOR PAPERS**

### **Volume 1**

issue 1 – deadline for submissions: 30 January 2024; publication date: May 2024 (Slovak and Czech language).

issue 2 – deadline for submissions: 30 September 2024; publication date: December 2024 (English, Slovak, Czech language) – [dedicated to the 10th anniversary of Kindergarten Day in Slovakia](#).

### **Volume 2**

issue 1 – deadline for submissions: 30 November 2024; publication date: May 2025 (Slovak, Czech, English language).

issue 2 – deadline for submissions: 30 April 2025; publication date: December 2025 (English, Slovak, Czech language).

Manuscripts will be reviewed within 60 working days of submission date for the issue.

## **CONTACT INFORMATION**

**Publisher:** Slovak Committee of World Organisation for Early Childhood Education.

**Editorial Office:** Slovak Committee of World Organisation for Early Childhood Education, Kindergarten Jurkovičova, Jurkovičova 17, 080 01 Prešov, Slovak Republic.

**Periodicity:** twice per year.

**Language:** Slovak, Czech, English

**e-mail:** [institutio.infantium@gmail.com](mailto:institutio.infantium@gmail.com)

**Web site:** [www.omep.sk](http://www.omep.sk)

**IČO (organization identification number):** 30812593

Manuscripts are accepted in MS WORD; Calibri; narrow margins; 1.5 line spacing; no page numbering in the document. Authors are asked not to use footnotes or endnotes.

## **EDITORIAL AND SCIENTIFIC BOARD**

Editorial board of the journal

*Editor-in-Chief:*

PaedDr. Monika MIŇOVÁ, PhD., University of Prešov in Prešov, Slovakia

*Members:*

PaedDr. Marianna JAMBOROVÁ, PhD., Slovak Committee of OMEP

Mgr. Marta KOPČÍKOVÁ, PhD., University of Prešov in Prešov, Slovakia

Mgr. Jana KOŽÁROVÁ, PhD., University of Prešov in Prešov, Slovakia

Mgr. Marcela FOJTÍKOVÁ ROUBALOVÁ, PhD., Palacký University in Olomouc, Czech Republic

*Executive Editor:*

PhDr. PaedDr. Matej SLOVÁČEK, Slovak Committee of OMEP

## **Scientific board of the journal**

prof. PhDr. Ľudmila BELÁSOVÁ, PhD., University of Prešov in Prešov, Slovakia

dr. habil. PaedDr. Kinga HORVÁTH, PhD., J. Selye University in Komárno, Slovakia

Dr. hab. Jolanta KARBOWNICZEK, prof. Ignatianum, Akademia Ignatianum in Krakow, Poland

prof. PaedDr. Dušan KOSTRUB, PhD., Comenius University in Bratislava, Slovakia

doc. dr. Marta LICARDO, University of Maribor, Slovenia

doc. PaedDr. Milena LIPNICKÁ, PhD., Matej Bel University in Banská Bystrica, Slovakia

doc. Oksana MKRTICHIAN, Kharkiv State Pedagogical University H. S. Skovorodu, Ukraine

prof. PhDr. Milan PORTIK, PhD., University of Prešov in Prešov, Slovakia

doc. Raisa SHULYHINA, Mykhajla Drahomanova State University of Ukraine, Ukraine

doc. PhDr. Zora SYSLOVÁ, Ph.D., Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

doc. PhDr. Gabriela ŠARNÍKOVÁ, PhD., Palacky University in Olomouc, Czech Republic

❖ The editorial and scientific board of the journal *Institutio infantium* are composed of experts in the field of preschool and elementary education, pedagogy and psychology from Slovakia and abroad.

❖ Members of the editorial and scientific board are scientific guarantors of the journal's quality, reviewers of manuscripts, and consultants to the editor-in-chief of the journal.

❖ In addition to evaluating manuscripts, the editorial board members nominate external reviewers to the editor-in-chief if necessary.

❖ The editor-in-chief and executive editor conceptually and organizationally ensure the procedure of editing the journal – accepting manuscripts, forwarding them for peer review, communicating with members of the editorial and scientific board, with external reviewers, with authors, and securing final editorial work.

❖ The executive editor accepts manuscripts and, after assessing the fulfillment of formal requirements, forwards them to the editor-in-chief, who appoints two reviewers for each accepted manuscript.

❖ Reviewers appointed from the editorial and scientific board will assess the manuscript within 60 working days from submission date for the issue according to the schedule. Within double-blind review, the mutual anonymity of the author and reviewer as well as mutual anonymity of both reviewers is accepted and ensured. Members of the editorial and scientific board and other experts are approached to review a manuscript based on the thematic focus of the manuscript, at the discretion of the editor-in-chief and the executive editor following the recommendation of the members of the editorial board.

❖ The review procedure follows the principle of professional ethics

## **AUTHOR GUIDELINES**

Thank you for choosing to publish in our JOURNAL. Please read all published information about the journal. The publisher does not charge fees for manuscript processing and publication. The authors are fully responsible for the content and language editing of the text, the correct listing of the bibliographic resources and the correct translation of the title and abstract into English. Manuscripts are accepted in MS WORD; Calibri; narrow margins; 1.5 line spacing; no page numbering in the document. Authors are asked not to use footnotes or endnotes.

## **Structure of a research study manuscript**

TITLE IN ENGLISH 14p

Name and surname of the author(s) without titles (12p)

---

Abstract: (max. 200 words)

Keywords: (3 – 5 words)

---

Introduction

Theoretical frameworks (Literature review)

Methodology (Literature review and Methodology sections can be further subdivided as needed)

Discussion

Conclusion

---

The affiliation of the manuscript to the project – if any.

---

List of bibliographic references (APA 7th ed.) in alphabetical order.

---

Author's contact information

(name and surname with titles, name and address of workplace/representing institution, email contact).

---

About the author(s).

(approx. 5 sentences, education and field of interest, workplace, hypertext link to other significant work of the author/Research Gate, etc.).

## **Structure of a scholarly article, review, discussion**

TITLE IN ENGLISH 14p

Name and surname of the author(s) without titles (12p)

---

Abstract: (max. 200 words)

Keywords: (3 – 5 words)

---

Text

---

The affiliation of the manuscript to the project – if any.

---

List of bibliographic references (APA 7th ed.) in alphabetical order.

---

Author's contact information

(name and surname with titles, name and address of workplace/representing institution, email contact).

---

About the author(s).

(approx. 5 sentences, education and field of interest, workplace, hypertext link to other significant work of the author/Research Gate, etc.).

APA Citation Standard 7th Edition

The entry of citations, references to citations, and the reference list follow the recommendations of the APA 7th edition (American Psychological Association, Seventh Edition) with minor modifications to meet the publisher's needs. The citation standard applies to both in-text references and the final reference list. In-text references and citations.

❖ If the author's name appears naturally in the text, it is followed by the year in round brackets: Miňová (2012) or with the location: Miňová (2012, p. 120), or M. Miňová (2012) or with the location: M. Miňová (2012, p. 120).

❖ In the case that several sources have the same author and year of publication, a small letter of the alphabet assigned to the year of publication is used to distinguish them: (Miňová, 2004a, p. 56). The letters are then also listed in the final reference list.

❖ The added suffix of the female authors' surnames is exclusively in the text; otherwise, the surnames stay in their original form (the references in brackets and in the reference list).

❖ References to several sources in brackets are separated by a semicolon and are listed alphabetically: (Horák, 2003; Kolář, 1997, 1998, 1999; Novák, 2007).

Direct quotes:

In the case of a direct citation up to length of 3 lines, the quoted text is given in quotation marks (the quoted text cannot be in italics) and the page (or a range of pages separated by a dash: "--") on which the quoted text is found in the original source is included (note: this information is omitted if the cited source does not have pagination).

The form of the in-text references:

The location of the pages from which it was quoted is separated by a comma from the year in round brackets (Miňová, 2012, p. 103).

If the cited source does not have pagination: According to Miňová (2017) or (Miňová, 2017) / According to M. Miňová (2017).

Source with two authors: Miňová and Portik (2001, p. 152) or (Miňová & Portik, 2001, p. 152) / M. Miňová and M. Portik (2001, p. 152).

Source with three or more authors: Miňová et al. (2015, p. 22) or (Miňová et al., 2015, p. 22) / M. Miňová et al. (2015, p. 22).

The author chooses one of the styles: Miňová (2002) or M. Miňová (2022) and adheres to this style throughout the text.

## REVIEW PROCEDURE

❖ For each manuscript, two experts from the editorial and scientific board are asked to provide a review. If necessary, external evaluators are contacted.

❖ The authors do not know the names of the reviewers, nor do the reviewers know the identities of the authors of the manuscript.

❖ Contributions will be reviewed within 60 working days from submission date for the issue.

❖ When evaluating the manuscript, the level of theoretical processing of the issue, scientific language, scientific quality of the methodology, originality, and quality of the discussion of the manuscript are assessed (according to the norm and tradition in educational sciences regarding the published criteria for the assessment of scientific studies and scholarly articles).

❖ The conclusion of the review may be a) the acceptance of publication; b) the recommendation to revise the manuscript by the author(s), or c) the rejection of publication.

- ❖ In case of the congruent conclusions from both reviewers, the editorial board will respect the conclusion and act accordingly. In case of the discordant conclusions from the reviewers, the editor-in-chief will appoint a third reviewer, whose opinion will be decisive regarding the publication or rejection of the manuscript.
- ❖ The third reviewer is expected to evaluate the manuscript within 10 working days.
- ❖ The author(s) will be informed about the conclusion of the review procedure by the executive editor, who will send the author(s) the anonymous reviews.
- ❖ In case of necessity to revise the manuscript, the author(s) have 10 calendar days after sending the reviews by the executive editor to return the revised manuscript in its final form. Changes are to be marked in red.
- ❖ Discussions and reviews are evaluated anonymously by a member of the editorial board and the manuscript is accepted or rejected for publication.

### **ASSESSMENT CRITERIA – *Institutio infantium* – research study**

Research studies are works of a more extensive nature, specific for their high-quality and comprehensive approach to the covered issue. They present the results of the authors' research or thorough theoretical analytical-synthetic work. A research study enriches the field with new knowledge or new untraditional contexts in the field. A research study encompasses an in-depth qualitative or quantitative methodology, contains detailed analysis and discussion. The maximum length of the article is 40,000 characters without spaces.

#### **1. Matching the study to the journal's scope**

The mission of the journal is to contribute to the scientific discussion on issues of preschool education and the practice of pre-primary education, which currently are not covered in any of the periodical publications in Slovakia. The goal of the journal is to expand current knowledge from the field of project investigations and research results, from the process of educational practice in institutions, schools, universities, and from systemic changes in the field of school policy and legislation with a focus on: preschool education (including special and inclusive), pre-primary education and legislation, preschool didactics, pedagogical diagnostics, child psychology (early and preschool age), alternative educational concepts, trends in educational sciences, comparative preschool pedagogy, and related topics.

#### **2. Contribution of the study to educational theory**

The published theoretical frameworks and research findings should be applicable in educational practice or provide the space for didactic application or further investigation.

**3. Congruence of content and title, title appropriateness, quality of abstract elaboration** The title of the article should be brief and descriptive, it should correspond to established educational terminology. The title should describe the content and focus of the study. The purpose of the abstract is to inform the reader about the aim, methodology and brief results of the research.

#### **4. Quality of theoretical frameworks elaboration**

The elaboration of theoretical frameworks should respect modern pedagogical knowledge in Slovakia and abroad. The theoretical frameworks are the basis for the methodological part of the study, they clarify the information prior to the methodology. Theoretical part of the study should not show signs of compilation; to the contrary, it should compare, connect, and argue knowledge and information.

#### **5. Quality of used and cited sources**

Scientific journal articles and monographs should dominate among the sources used. A minimum of 30% representation of foreign sources is required. Authors should not use popularizing and Internet sources nor university textbooks.

#### 6. Quality of used methodology

The use of a theoretical, qualitative, or quantitative research design with a clear reference to the authors of the methodological approach is required. The approach should be well-established in Slovakia or abroad or otherwise adequately explained and reasoned in the manuscript.

#### 7. Quality of data analysis and interpretation

A high level of interpretation and thorough data analysis is required from the authors in order to open a wider scientific discussion and identify implications, conclusions, and possible recommendations for practice. The goal of theoretical and scientific research studies is to enrich pedagogical science or to encourage a scientific debate by presenting new data.

#### 8. Research replicability

The methodology of theoretical or empirical research should be transparent and comprehensible so that the presented research can be replicated.

#### 9. Compliance with language and citation standards

The author is responsible for the language level (grammar, stylistics) and correct adherence to quoting, paraphrasing, and sources citing. Terminological uniformity and the coherence of the text is required. The reviewer takes it into account in the manuscript review.

### **ASSESSMENT CRITERIA – *Institutio infantium* – scholarly article**

Scholarly articles present the results of the authors' scholarly and theoretical work. The articles require a high level of analytical processing of a scholarly topic with an emphasis on new, current theoretical frameworks of domestic and foreign professional discourse. The maximum length of the article is 25,000 characters without spaces.

#### 1. Matching the article to the journal's scope

The mission of the journal is to contribute to the scientific discussion on issues of preschool education and the practice of pre-primary education, which currently are not covered in any of the periodical publications in Slovakia. The goal of the journal is to expand current knowledge from the field of project investigations and research results, from the process of educational practice in institutions, schools, universities, and from systemic changes in the field of school policy and legislation with a focus on: preschool education (including special and inclusive), pre-primary education and legislation, preschool didactics, pedagogical diagnostics, child psychology (early and preschool age), alternative educational concepts, trends in educational sciences, comparative preschool pedagogy, and related topics.

#### 2. Contribution of the article to educational theory and practice

Article content should address current or emerging, cutting-edge topics within educational science or didactics of educational practice.

#### 3. Congruence of content and title, title appropriateness, quality of abstract elaboration

The title of the article should be brief and descriptive, it should correspond to the established educational terminology. The abstract informs the reader about the focus, goal, and contribution of the article.

#### 4. Quality of theoretical frameworks elaboration

The elaboration of theoretical frameworks should respect modern pedagogical knowledge in Slovakia and abroad. It should not show signs of compilation; to the contrary, it should compare, connect, and reason knowledge and information.

#### 5. Quality of used and cited sources

Journal articles and monographs should dominate among the sources used. Authors should not use popularizing and Internet sources nor university textbooks.

## 6. Article structure logic

The structure of the article should be logical to provide the reader with a comprehensive professional view of the issue being addressed.

## 7. Level of analytical-synthetic processing of information

Scholarly article published in a scientific journal should show a high level of analysis and synthesis of available domestic and foreign knowledge and information about the issue being addressed. The text should not act as a compilation of lessons and should not be without argumentation of the presented ideas. Moreover, it should contain the author's own critical contribution and a new context or perspective.

## 8. Level of professional language

A professional communication style using established terminology is required. The introduction and definition of novel terms and concepts by the author is also welcome, but this should be well reasoned, justified, and explained.

## 9. Compliance with language and citation standards

The author is responsible for the language level (grammar, stylistics) and correct adherence to quoting, paraphrasing, and sources citing. The reviewer takes it into account in the manuscript review.

## OPEN ACCESS

By submitting a manuscript, authors agree to the publication of their manuscript and submitted data in compliance with the published template in the journal and acknowledge that *Institutio infantium* is an open access scientific journal. The open access journal means that the entire content is freely available at no charge to all users or institutions. Users may read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link the full texts in this journal without requiring permission from the publisher or author(s). The free use of the content requires correct citation of the source in the form of a complete acknowledgment of the bibliographic reference according to the relevant citation standard.

## PUBLICATION ETHICS

The ethical statements of the peer-reviewed scientific journal *Institutio infantium* are based on the Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors developed by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and the Publication Ethics of the journal *Studies in Special Education*.

- ❖ All submitted manuscripts are reviewed by two reviewers who are members of the journal's editorial or scientific board. The review process is double blinded – the reviewers do not know the authors' names and the authors do not have access to the information of who is reviewing their manuscript. The reviewers will evaluate the nature of the manuscript with focus on topicality, the contribution of covered issue, the level of scientific and professional language (according to the published criteria for research studies and scholarly articles). In the review, the reviewers will clearly state whether they recommend the manuscript for publication (or after its revision) or do not recommend it for publication.
- ❖ In case of the discordant conclusions from the reviewers, the manuscript will undergo additional evaluation by a third reviewer, whose opinion will be decisive regarding the publication or rejection of the manuscript.
- ❖ Editor-in-chief, editorial board members, and reviewers cannot disclose any information about submitted or reviewed materials to unrelated persons.
- ❖ Submitted manuscripts cannot be published previously and cannot be under review process of any other journal. In case of uncertainty, reviewers can require additional documentary evidence, or the manuscript will be rejected.
- ❖ Unpublished materials will not be used without written consent of the author(s). They will be returned to the author(s) and removed from the publisher's database.



- ❖ In case of photographs publication, the author of the manuscript must attach consent for their publication obtained from the author of the photograph or from the persons it depicts. For images, graphs, and tables, it is mandatory to indicate the source.
- ❖ The editor-in-chief is responsible for the constant development and improvement of the scientific and professional level of manuscripts published in the journal, as well as for the protection of freedom of expression.
- ❖ The editor-in-chief monitors and safeguards publication ethics and communicates with competent persons in cases of possible errors and deficiencies in published texts.
- ❖ The editor-in-chief evaluates manuscripts content regardless of the personality, race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, and state or political affiliation of the author(s).
- ❖ Authors are obliged to publish only original and authentic data with correct reference to the literature used.
- ❖ In the event that the author(s) use the results of scientific work or the ideas of other authors in their manuscript, they are obliged to appropriately cite the original sources.
- ❖ Submitted manuscripts must include a relevant list of references according to the journal's citation standards guidelines.
- ❖ Any form of plagiarism or use of fraudulent data is unacceptable
- ❖ At the end of the manuscript, the acknowledgement can be given (to express gratitude or state the affiliation of the manuscript to the scientific research projects).
- ❖ All manuscript reviews must be objective, developed in accordance with scientific, professional, and methodological standards in educational sciences and with regard to the criteria of a research study and scholarly article defined and given by the publisher.
- ❖ The reviewers have no conflict of interest with respect to the reviewed manuscripts.
- ❖ Reviewers treat reviewed manuscripts confidentially.

## **REVIEWER GUIDELINES**

- ❖ Reviewers of manuscripts are the journal's editorial and scientific board members.
- ❖ In justified cases, the editorial board can propose external reviewers to the editor-in-chief.
- ❖ All reviewers of manuscripts for the journal *Institutio infantium* are guided by the scope, specifics, and standards of the journal, which are published on its website. The reviewers respect the publication ethics of the journal, the principles of the review procedure of research studies and scholarly articles, the standards applied in educational sciences, and the criteria for assessing research studies and scholarly articles given by the publisher.
- ❖ The review form prepared by the editorial board is used to elaborate the review report.
- ❖ As a result of the review, the manuscript may be a) accepted to publication, b) rejected, or c) the revision of the manuscript may be recommended.